

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/615,107	ICE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ingrid Wright	2835	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Ingrid Wright / AU 2835

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Mr. Kevn W. Stinger, Reg. No. 48959.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 28 April 2005

**Time:** 2:30

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:  
 31,32

Prior art documents discussed:  
 None.

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Non-proper antecedant basis in claim 31, for the citation "the hole", was discussed. For details, please see the Examiner's Amendment.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**ANATOLY VORTMAN**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)